

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,829	09/30/2003	Stephen Burns	021756-003300US	3773	
51206 7500 129/17/2008 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER BASEHOAR, ADAML			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			R, ADAM L		
8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/676,829	BURNS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ADAM L. BASEHOAR	2178	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\textsquare\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavl, or other afficiency, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the situation period for reply expire later than SX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. Examiner hole: If box it is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sea MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for pely originally set in final Office action, or (2) a set form in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u>
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 ¬Apphating the proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 3 T CPR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\overline{\text{See Continuation Sheet.}}\)
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
/Adam L Basehoar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to the substantially similar independent claims, Applicant argues that Anuff fails to beach or disclose, "generating software coding that creates a portlet." The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. In general, the Anuff reference teaches a system for generating portlets whereby a user does not have to write software object or properties of the pro

As previously stated, Anuff clearly teaches generating coding representing an object that creates a graphical user interface displaying retrieved data (column 7, lines 5-5-52 column 13, lines 5-5-65. "each module generates HTML, designated by the layout"; column 14, lines 3-9: "module view object contains display logic for its module., generates the HTML for its front-page view")[Fig. 2). Here Anuff teaches generates the HTML for its front-page view")[Fig. 2). Here Anuff teaches generates HTML for displaying some aspect of the module's data. Anuff also clearly teaches wherein the generated coding was based on user specified declarative specification of the data source (column 4, lines 12-15. "select which news sources are to be used for selection of headlines"; column 7, lines 5-55; column 10, lines 5-267; column 13, lines 5-565) and the user specified ideolative specification (column 2, lines 3-12; column 4, lines 6-14; column 7, lines 5-65; column 10, lines 15-16; sea 5-b). Here Anuff teaches that the combination of the user specified content sources as well as the user specified layout arrangements were necessary to generate the coding for the module view object portlet for inclusion into the user defined front cortal page.